UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF VERMONT

LOUISIANA MUNICIPAL POLICE EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM, SJUNDE AP-FONDEN, BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE GENERAL EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM, EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS, AND PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM OF MISSISSIPPI on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

No. 2:11-CV-00289-WKS

Plaintiffs,

v.

GREEN MOUNTAIN COFFEE ROASTERS, INC., LAWRENCE J. BLANFORD and FRANCES G. RATHKE,

Defendants.

ORDER APPROVING PLAN OF ALLOCATION OF NET SETTLEMENT FUND

WHEREAS, this matter came on for hearing on October 22, 2018 (the "Settlement Hearing") on Class Representatives' motion to determine whether the proposed plan of allocation of the Net Settlement Fund ("Plan of Allocation") created by the Settlement achieved in the above-captioned class action (the "Action") should be approved. The Court having considered all matters submitted to it at the Settlement Hearing and otherwise; and it appearing that notice of the Settlement Hearing substantially in the form approved by the Court was mailed to all Class Members who could be identified with reasonable effort, and that a summary notice of the hearing substantially in the form approved by the Court was published in *Investor's Business Daily* and

Case 2:11-cv-00289-wks Document 351 Filed 10/22/18 Page 2 of 3

transmitted over the *PR Newswire* pursuant to the specifications of the Court; and the Court having considered and determined the fairness and reasonableness of the proposed Plan of Allocation; and

WHEREAS, this Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement dated June 18, 2018 (ECF No. 336-1) (the "Stipulation"), and all capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

 <u>Jurisdiction</u> – The Court has jurisdiction to enter this Order and over the subject matter of the Action, as well as personal jurisdiction over all of the Parties and each of the Class Members.

2. <u>Notice</u> – Notice of Class Representatives' motion for approval of the proposed Plan of Allocation was given to all Class Members who could be identified with reasonable effort. The form and method of notifying the Class of the motion for approval of the proposed Plan of Allocation satisfied the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the United States Constitution (including the Due Process Clause), the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4, as amended, and all other applicable law and rules; constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances; and constituted due and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled thereto.

3. More than 188,700 copies of the Notice were mailed to potential Class Members and nominees, and there are no objections to the Plan of Allocation.

4. <u>Approval of Plan of Allocation</u> – The Court hereby finds and concludes that the formula for the calculation of the claims of Claimants as set forth in the Plan of Allocation mailed to Class Members provides a fair and reasonable basis upon which to allocate the proceeds of the

2

Case 2:11-cv-00289-wks Document 351 Filed 10/22/18 Page 3 of 3

Net Settlement Fund among Class Members with due consideration having been given to administrative convenience and necessity.

5. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation is, in all respects, fair and reasonable to the Class. Accordingly, the Court hereby approves the Plan of Allocation proposed by Class Representatives.

6. <u>No Impact on Judgment</u> – Any appeal or any challenge affecting this Court's approval regarding any plan of allocation of the Net Settlement Fund shall in no way disturb or affect the finality of the Judgment.

7. <u>Retention of Jurisdiction</u> – Exclusive jurisdiction is hereby retained over the Parties and the Class Members for all matters relating to this Action, including the administration, interpretation, effectuation, or enforcement of the Stipulation and this Order.

8. <u>Entry of Order</u> – There is no just reason for delay in the entry of this Order, and immediate entry by the Clerk of the Court is expressly directed.

SO ORDERED this <u>22</u> day of <u>October</u>, 2018.

/s/ William K. Sessions III The Honorable William K. Sessions III United States District Judge